



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,122	06/28/2006	Brenton Robert Steele	MONK-1005US	2370

21302 7590 10/13/2010  
KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY  
EIGHT PENN CENTER  
SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER
----------

OLANIRAN, FATIMAT O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/13/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10596122	6/28/2006	STEELE, BRENTON ROBERT	MONK-1005US

KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY  
EIGHT PENN CENTER  
SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

**EXAMINER**

FATIMAT O. OLANIRAN

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2614

20101007

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments pg. 1, Feng discloses a signal processing apparatus for creating a directional pattern ( Fig. 6-7 col. 5 line 36-49 and col. 6 line 1-40). In addition applicant's argues phase and amplitude matching. None of applicant's claim limitations is directed to phase and amplitude matching. In addition phase and amplitude matching is well known in the art at the time of the invention See Arndt et al (6421448).

Applicant's arguments Pg. 2, Feng discloses omni-directional mics may be used in the invention (col. 18 line 25-37). Feng does not require that both sensors be directional as argued by applicant. Furthermore as presented in the office action creating directional patterns by signal processing various types of mic including omnidirectional mics is well known in the art at the time of the invention as further evidenced by Bradley (5463694).

Applicant's arguments Pg. 3, Applicant's claim 1 comprises two mics, applicant's claim language is open-ended therefore two or more mics reads on applicant's claim language. Applicant does not claim only two mics Feng discloses two or more mics (Fig. 6 and col. 18 line 25-37) and therefore reads on applicant's claim language.

Applicant's arguments Pg. 4, Asserting an invention is commercially successful is not enough evidence to overcome an art rejection. See MPEP 716.03.